



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/762,664

01/22/2004

David J. Beebe

282.033

5152

23598 7590 05/13/2008

BOYLE FREDRICKSON S.C.
840 North Plankinton Avenue
MILWAUKEE, WI 53203

EXAMINER

GILBERT, ANDREW M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3767

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/13/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/762,664	Applicant(s) BEEBE ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW M. GILBERT	Art Unit 3767	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 17, 20, 21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9, 17, 20 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21, 27 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgments

1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 1/22/2008.
2. In the reply, the Applicant cancelled claims 22 and added new claims 26-28.

Claims 9, 17, 20, and 25 remain withdrawn.

3. Thus, claims 21, 23-24, 26-28 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 21, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ziaie et al (2004/0248326). Ziaie et al discloses in reference to claim 21 a microfluidic device (Fig 13) for delivering a drug to an individual, comprising: a body (504) defining a reservoir for receiving the drug and a chamber for receiving an aqueous solution therein ([0028] wherein the insulin pump may be an osmotic pump which are well known in the art to have a drug contained in a reservoir formed by a membrane surrounding the drug, a osmotic agent containing chamber between the membrane a semipermeable membrane holding the osmotic agent and the semipermeable membrane being capable of being permeable to an aqueous solution which flows through the semipermeable membrane increasing the volume of the chamber and exerting pressure on the reservoir); an output cannula (502) having an input in communication with the reservoir

(Fig 13) and an output receivable within the individual (Fig 13); an adhesive (Fig 13) for affixing the body to the individual; a pressure source (see discussion above) including an hydrogel member received within the chamber (wherein the osmotic agent may be considered a hydrogel [0145]) and being expandable in response to exposure to a the aqueous solution (see discussion above), the hydrogel member engageable with the reservoir and urging the drug from the reservoir through the output cannula as the hydrogel member expands ([0143-0147]); and a valve (500; Fig 9-10) defining a chamber and interconnecting the reservoir and the output cannula (Fig 13), the valve movable between a non-actuated position wherein the valve prevents the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle and an actuated position wherein the valve allows for the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle (Fig 9-10, 13; [0017, 0028, 0143-0147] and discussion of “Hydrogel-Activated Devices”).

6. In reference to claim 26, Ziaie et al additionally discloses the valve including a hydrogel microscale valve having a flexible membrane (104) for dividing the valve chamber into a drug flow portion (110) and a trigger receiving portion (103) and a trigger (102) position within the trigger receiving portion of the valve chamber and having a first configuration with the valve in the non-actuated position and a second configuration with the valve in the actuated position (Fig 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 21, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kriesel et al (6416495) in view of Kriesel et al (5693018). In reference to claim 21 Kriesel et al '495 discloses a microfluidic device (Fig 4) for delivering a drug to an individual, comprising: a body (24) defining a reservoir (44) for receiving the drug and a chamber (70) for receiving an aqueous solution therein; an output cannula (137) having an input in communication with the reservoir (Fig 4) and an output receivable within the individual (Fig 3, 4, Summary); a pressure source (70) including an hydrogel member received within the chamber (70) and being expandable in response to exposure to the aqueous solution (col 6, lns 33-47, col 10, lns 9-21; and specifically col 7, lns 1-32 or col 9 lns 56-58; wherein the hydrogel is disclosed as being the type that responds to a ph or salt concentration change that occurs in an aqueous solution), the hydrogel member engageable with the reservoir and urging the drug from the reservoir through the output cannula as the hydrogel member expands (Fig 4, Summary, col 5, lns 15-col 6, lns 1, col 6, lns 33-47, col 10, lns 9-21); and a valve (64) defining a chamber and interconnecting the reservoir and the output cannula (Fig 4), the valve movable between a non-actuated position wherein the valve prevents the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle and an actuated position wherein the valve allows for the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle (64, Fig 4, Summary, col 5, lns 15-col 6, lns 1, col 6, lns 33-47, col 10, lns 9-21).

9. In response to claims 27-28, Kriesel et al '495 discloses the invention substantially as claimed and further discloses that the hydrogel member is expandable in response to exposure to a predetermine physical property originating within the body (col 6, lns 33-47, col 10, lns 9-21; and specifically col 7, lns 1-32 or col 9 lns 56-58; wherein the hydrogel is disclosed as being the type that responds to a ph or salt concentration change that occurs in an aqueous solution; furthermore, see col 11, col 21-25, wherein a sensor is exposed to a predetermined physical property originating within the body in the bloodstream which is an aqueous solution. In this case, the sensor can activating any one of the disclosed (magnetic, light, electrical) methods of activating the hydrogel member in response to an exposure to a predetermine physical property originating within the body.

10. However, Kriesel et al '495 does not expressly disclose that the output cannula is a needle and that the device has an adhesive for affixing the body to the individual.

11. Kriesel et al '018 teaches that it is known to have the output cannula is a needle (26b) and that the device has an adhesive (layer "A") for affixing the body to the individual for the purpose of providing a subdermal insulin delivery device that is attached to the patient's skin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the output cannula and device as taught by Kriesel et al '495 with the output needle and adhesive securement as taught by Kriesel et al '018 for the purpose of a subdermal insulin delivery device that is attached to the patient's skin.

12. Claim 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kriesel et al (6416495) in view of Kriesel et al (5693018) in further view of Raines (4556086). Kriesel '495 and '018 disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for expressly disclosing the valve including a flexible membrane. Raines teaches that it is known to have a valve with a flexible membrane (40) for the purpose of responding to low fluid pressure differentials while preventing backflow. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ball valve as taught by Kriesel '495 and '018 with the flexible membrane as taught by Raines for the purpose of responding to low fluid pressure differentials while preventing backflow.

13. Claim 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kriesel et al (6416495) in view of Kriesel et al (5693018) in further view of Ziaie et al (20040248326). Kriesel '495 and '018 disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for expressly disclosing the valve including a flexible membrane for dividing the valve chamber into a drug flow portion and a trigger receiving portion and a trigger position within the trigger receiving portion of the valve chamber and having a first configuration with the valve in the non-actuated position and a second configuration with the valve in the actuated position. Ziaie teaches that it is known to have a hydrogel microscale valve having a flexible membrane (104) for dividing the valve chamber into a drug flow portion (110) and a trigger receiving portion (103) and a trigger (102) position within the trigger receiving portion of the valve chamber and having a first configuration

with the valve in the non-actuated position and a second configuration with the valve in the actuated position (Fig 9) for the purpose of having a hydrogel activated microvalve in response to a predetermined compound. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ball valve as taught by Kriesel '495 and '018 with the hydrogel activated microvalve as taught by Ziaie for the purpose of having a hydrogel activated microvalve in response to a predetermined compound.

14. Claims 21, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckenhoff et al (4552561) in view of Ziaie et al (20040248326). Eckenhoff et al discloses a microfluidic device (Fig 2) for delivering a drug to an individual, comprising: a body (2) defining a reservoir (25) for receiving the drug and a chamber (11) for receiving an aqueous solution (18) therein; an output cannula (22, 21) having an input in communication with the reservoir (Fig 2) and an output receivable within the individual (Fig 2); a pressure source (18) including an hydrogel member received within the chamber and being expandable in response to exposure to a the aqueous solution (see discussion above), the hydrogel member engageable with the reservoir and urging the drug from the reservoir through the output cannula as the hydrogel member expands ([col 4, lns 15-35; col 5, lns 53-43]); an output needle (22), and an adhesive (6).

15. However, Eckenhoff et al does not a valve between the reservoir and the output needle. Ziaie et al teaches that it is known to have a valve (500; Fig 9-10) defining a chamber and interconnecting the reservoir and the output cannula (Fig 13), the valve

movable between a non-actuated position wherein the valve prevents the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle and an actuated position wherein the valve allows for the flow of the drug from the reservoir to the output needle (Fig 9-10, 13; [0017, 0028, 0143-0147] and discussion of “Hydrogel-Activated Devices”); the valve including a hydrogel microscale valve having a flexible membrane (104) for dividing the valve chamber into a drug flow portion (110) and a trigger receiving portion (103) and a trigger (102) position within the trigger receiving portion of the valve chamber and having a first configuration with the valve in the non-actuated position and a second configuration with the valve in the actuated position (Fig 9) for the purpose of controlling drug delivery either in response to a pre-determined stimulus or for pulsatile delivery. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reservoir to output needle connection as taught by Eckenhoff et al with the hydrogel activated microvalve as taught by Ziaie et al for the purpose of controlling drug delivery either in response to a pre-determined stimulus or for pulsatile delivery.

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Simons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

Application/Control Number: 10/762,664

Page 10

Art Unit: 3767

/Kevin C. Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767